

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,095	12/20/2000	Leah E. Appel	PC10818AJTJ	8852
7590 10/12/2006			EXAMINER	
Gregg C. Benson			GOLLAMUDI, SHARMILA S	
Pfizer Inc. MS 4 Eastern Point Ro			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Groton, CT 06340			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	09/745,095	APPEL ET AL.		
		Art Unit		
	Johann R. Richter	1616		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review	ew filed <u>09/15/06</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s):	d a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other: 	iew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run fro the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Noti	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decirunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichev appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	re is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal sion, or the balance of the two-month time period er is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the clair Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2,7-9,12,15-32,44,49-51,56,57,6 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 58-62. 	
3. Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remain applicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap	plicant at this time.
All participants:	JÜHANN RICHTER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(1) <u>Johann R. Richter</u> .	(3) Sharmilla Gollamudi. GROUP 1200
(2) <u>Jean Witz</u> .	(4)

(4)____.